

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA713651**

Filing date: **12/09/2015**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91214449
Party	Defendant Alpha Omega Epsilon, Inc.
Correspondence Address	JACK A WHEAT STITES & HARBISON PLLC 400 W MARKET ST STE 1800 LOUISVILLE, KY 40202-3352 UNITED STATES jwheat@stites.com
Submission	Other Motions/Papers
Filer's Name	Jack A. Wheat
Filer's e-mail	jwheat@stites.com
Signature	/jackawheat/
Date	12/09/2015
Attachments	AOE_Notice_of_Supplemental_Authority.pdf(82703 bytes)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

OMEGA, S.A. (OMEGA AG)
(OMEGA LTD.),

OPPOSER,

vs.

ALPHA OMEGA EPSILON, INC.

APPLICANT.

Opposition Nos. 91214449 (Parent)

91214452

91214453

91214454

Serial Nos. 85/855823

85/857062

85/857065

85/855839

**NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL LEGAL AUTHORITY
PERTINENT TO
ALPHA OMEGA EPSILON'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Comes the Applicant, Alpha Omega Epsilon, by counsel and pursuant to TBMP § 528.05(a)(1), Applicant hereby brings to the attention of the Board supplemental legal authority issued after briefing of the summary judgment motion, namely, *Jack Wolfskin Ausrüstung Fur Draussen GMBH & Co. KGAA v. New Millenium Sports, S.L.U.*, 797 F. 3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2015). This is a precedential decision from the Federal Circuit relevant to the issues under consideration in this proceeding. In *Jack Wolfskin*, the Federal Circuit reversed a TTAB finding holding as follows:

We conclude . . . that the Board incorrectly found a likelihood of confusion between the two marks because the Board failed to properly compare [the marks] *as a whole*. . . and also failed to recognize, in light of the significant evidence of paw prints appearing in third-party registration and usage for clothing, the relatively narrow scope of protection afforded to marks involving paw prints.

Id. And as further noted by the Federal Circuit therein, “evidence of third-party use of similar marks on similar goods [and services] ‘can show that customers have been educated to distinguish between different marks on the basis of minute distinctions.’ ” *Id.* at 1374 (*quoting*

Juice Generation, Inc. v. GS Enters. LLC, 2015 U.S. App. LEXIS 12456, at *3 (Fed. Cir. July 20, 2015)).

ACCORDINGLY, Applicant submits this decision and the reasoning resulting in same is relevant to the issues under consideration in this proceeding and requests that the Board provide this new authority appropriate consideration in the course of its consideration of the pending Motion for Summary Judgment.

Respectfully requested,

/jackawheat/

Jack A. Wheat
Mari-Elise Gates
STITES & HARBISON PLLC
400 West Market Street, Suite 1800
Louisville, Kentucky 40202-3352
Telephone: (502) 587-3400

Counsel for Alpha Omega Epsilon

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE AND ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION

I hereby certify that a true copy of this NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL LEGAL AUTHORITY PERTINENT TO ALPHA OMEGA EPSILON'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT is being filed electronically with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office using the ESTTA service, and a courtesy copy has been served upon Opposer's Lead Counsel by electronic mail and a copy has been served on counsel for Opposer by mailing said copy this 9th day of December, 2015, via First Class Mail, postage prepaid, to:

Jess M. Collen
Thomas P. Gulick
Oren Gelber
COLLEN IP
The Holyoke-Manhattan Building
80 South Highland Ave.
Ossining, New York 10562

/jackawheat/
Jack A. Wheat

AL191:00AL1:1062018:1:LOUISVILLE